arXiv:math/0412164v1 [math.FA] 8 Dec 2004

On the Bessmertnyĭ Class of Homogeneous Positive Holomorphic Functions on a Product of Matrix Halfplanes

Dmitry S. Kalyuzhnyĭ-Verbovetzkiĭ

Abstract. We generalize our earlier results from [9] on the Bessmertnyĭ class of operator-valued functions holomorphic in the open right poly-halfplane which admit representation as a Schur complement of a block of a linear homogeneous operator-valued function with positive semidefinite operator coefficients, to the case of a product of open right matrix halfplanes. Several equivalent characterizations of this generalized Bessmertnyĭ class are presented. In particular, its intimate connection with the Agler–Schur class of holomorphic contractive operator-valued functions on the product of matrix unit disks is established.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 47A48; Secondary 32A10, 47A56, 47A60.

Keywords. Several complex variables, homogeneous, positive, holomorphic, operator-valued functions, product of matrix halfplanes, long resolvent representations, Agler–Schur class.

1. Introduction

In the PhD Thesis of M. F. Bessmertnyĭ [4] (the translation into English of some of its parts can be found in [5, 6, 7]) the class of rational $n \times n$ matrix-valued functions of N complex variables $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$, representable in the form

$$f(z) = a(z) - b(z)d(z)^{-1}c(z), \tag{1.1}$$

where a linear $(n+p) \times (n+p)$ matrix-valued function

$$A(z) = z_1A_1 + \cdots + z_NA_N = \begin{bmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

The author was supported by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

has positive semidefinite matrix coefficients $A_j,\ j=1,\dots,N$, with real entries, was considered. Such a representation (1.1)–(1.2), was called in the thesis a *long resolvent representation.* The motivation of its consideration comes from the electrical engineering. Bessmertrnyĭ has shown that this class is precisely the class of all characteristic functions of passive $2n$-poles, where the impedances of elements of an electrical circuit are considered as independent variables.

In [9] a more general class $\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ of holomorphic functions on the *open right poly-halfplane* $\Pi^N:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^N:\ \operatorname{Re} z_k>0,\ k=1,\dots,N\}$, with values in the C^*-algebra $L(\mathcal{U})$ of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$, which admit a representation (1.1) with a linear pencil $A(z)$ as in (1.2), however consisting of operators from $L(\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is an auxiliary Hilbert space, such that $A_j\geq 0,\ j=1,\dots,N$, was introduced. Here the Hilbert spaces are supposed to be complex. This class $\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ was called the *Bessmertrnyĭ class.* Any function $f\in\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ is homogeneous of degree one and takes operator values with positive semidefinite real parts. Moreover, f can be uniquely extended to a holomorphic and homogeneous of degree one function on the domain

$$\Omega_N:=\bigcup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}}(\lambda\Pi)^N\subset\mathbb{C}^N, \tag{1.3}$$

so that (1.1) holds true for $z\in\Omega_N$, as well as the homogeneity relation

$$f(\lambda z)=\lambda f(z),\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\},\ z\in\Omega_N, \tag{1.4}$$

and the symmetry relation

$$f(\bar{z})=f(z)^*,\quad z\in\Omega_N \tag{1.5}$$

(here $\lambda z=(\lambda z_1,\dots,\lambda z_N)$ and $\bar{z}=(\bar{z}_1,\dots,\bar{z}_N)$). In [9] several equivalent characterizations of the Bessmertnyĭ class have been established: in terms of certain positive semidefinite kernels on $\Omega_N\times\Omega_N$, in terms of functional calculus of N-tuples of commuting bounded strictly accretive operators on a common Hilbert space, and in terms of the double Cayley transform. Let us briefly recall the last one. The *double Cayley transform* (over the variables and over the values), $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{C}(f)$, of a function $f\in\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ is defined for w in the open unit polydisk $\mathbb{D}^N:=\{w\in\mathbb{C}^N:|w_k|<1,\ k=1,\dots,N\}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(w)=\left(f\left(\frac{1+w_1}{1-w_1},\dots,\frac{1+w_N}{1-w_N}\right)-I_{\mathcal{U}}\right)\left(f\left(\frac{1+w_1}{1-w_1},\dots,\frac{1+w_N}{1-w_N}\right)+I_{\mathcal{U}}\right)^{-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

For any $f\in\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$, its double Cayley transform $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{C}(f)$ belongs to the *Agler–Schur class* $\mathcal{AS}_N(\mathcal{U})$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^N$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})\|\leq 1$ for every N-tuple $\mathbf{T}=(T_1,\dots,T_N)$ of commuting strict contractions on a common Hilbert space (see details on this class in [1]). Moreover, there exist Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}_1,\dots,\mathcal{X}_N$ such that $\mathcal{X}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^N\mathcal{X}_k$, and an *Agler representation*

$$\mathcal{F}(w)=D+CP(w)(I_{\mathcal{X}}-AP(w))^{-1}B,\quad w\in\mathbb{D}^N, \tag{1.7}$$

where $P(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k P_{\mathcal{X}_k}$, with orthogonal projections $P_{\mathcal{X}_k}$ onto $\mathcal{X}_k$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} =: U = U^{-1} = U^* \in L(\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{U}).$$

Conversely, any function $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{AS}_N(\mathcal{U})$ satisfying the latter condition can be represented as the double Cayley transform, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$, of some function $f \in \mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$.

Let us recollect that matrices A_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, in original Bessmertnyĭ's definition had real entries, thus functions from his class took matrix values whose all entries were real at real points $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. In [9] we have considered also a "real" version of the (generalized) Bessmertnyĭ class. Namely, we have defined the real structure on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$ by means of an *anti-unitary involution* (a counterpart of the complex conjugation), i.e., an operator $\iota = \iota_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\iota^2 = I_{\mathcal{U}}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\langle \iota u_1, \iota u_2 \rangle = \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle, \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{1.9}$$

Such an operator ι is *anti-linear*, i.e.,

$$\iota(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) = \bar{\alpha} u_1 + \bar{\beta} u_2, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C},\ u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}.$$

An operator A from $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$, the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{Y}$, is called $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{\mathcal{Y}})$-*real* for anti-unitary involutions $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{Y}}$ if

$$\iota_{\mathcal{Y}} A = A \iota_{\mathcal{U}}. \tag{1.10}$$

Such operators A are a counterpart of matrices with real entries. Finally, a function f on a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $z \in \Omega \Leftrightarrow \bar{z} \in \Omega$, which takes values from $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{\mathcal{Y}})$-*real* if

$$f^\sharp(z) := \iota_{\mathcal{Y}} f(\bar{z}) \iota_{\mathcal{U}} = f(z), \quad z \in \Omega. \tag{1.11}$$

If $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Y}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{U}} = \iota_{\mathcal{Y}} = \iota$ then such a function is called ι-*real.* We have defined the "ι-*real" Bessmertnyĭ class* $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ as the subclass of all ι-real functions from $\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$. The latter subclass is a counterpart of the original class considered by Bessmertnyĭ. In [9] we have obtained different characterizations for $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$, too.

In the present paper we introduce and investigate analogous classes of functions (either for the "complex" and "real" cases) on more general domains. First, we define a *product of matrix halfplanes* as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N \times n_N} &:= \{Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_N) : \\ & Z_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k \times n_k},\ Z_k + Z_k^* > 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

which serves as a generalization of the open right poly-halfplane Π^N. Then we define a conterpart of the domain Ω_N as

$$\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N} := \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \left(\lambda \Pi^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \lambda \Pi^{n_N \times n_N} \right), \tag{1.13}$$

and define the corresponding Bessmertnyĭ classes of functions on the domain $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. Consideration of such classes can be also motivated by problems of the theory of electrical networks since there are situations where "matrix impedances" are considered as matrix variables (see, e.g., [10]). On the other hand, mathematical tools for such an investigation have recently appeared. Since in [9] the close relation of the Bessmertnyĭ classes $\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ and $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ to the Agler–Schur class $\mathcal{AS}_N(\mathcal{U})$ has been established, this has made possible the use of properties of the latter class as a tool for investigation Bessmertnyĭ's classes. In the same manner we make use of the recent works of C.-G. Ambrozie and D. Timotin [2], J. A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov [3] on the Agler–Schur class of function on so-called polynomially defined domains for the investigation of the Bessmertnyĭ's classes of functions on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. A counterpart of the class $\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ is introduced in Section 2, where also a useful decomposition for functions from this class is obtained. In Section 3 the relationship between the Bessmertnyĭ class on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$ and the corresponding Agler–Schur class on a product of matrix disks is established. This allows us to give a characterization of the (generalized) Bessmertnyĭ class in terms of functional calculus for collections of operators. In Section 4 we describe the image of this class under the double Cayley transform. Finally, a counterpart of the "real" Bessmertnyĭ class $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_N(\mathcal{U})$ is studied in Section 5.

2. The Bessmertnyĭ class for a matrix domain

Let us define the class $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ of all $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued functions f holomorphic on the domain $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$ defined in (1.13) (see also (1.12)) which are representable as

$$f(Z) = a(Z) - b(Z)d(Z)^{-1}c(Z) \tag{2.1}$$

for $Z \in \Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$, where

$$A(Z) = G_1^*(Z_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_1})G_1 + \cdots + G_N^*(Z_N \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_N})G_N = \begin{bmatrix} a(Z) & b(Z) \\ c(Z) & d(Z) \end{bmatrix} \in L(\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{H}) \tag{2.2}$$

for some Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{M}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_N, \mathcal{H}$ and operators $G_k \in L(\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, N$.

Remark 2.1. If a function f is holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and has a representation (2.1)–(2.2) there, then f can be extended to $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$ by homogeneity of degree one, and this extension is, clearly, holomorphic and admits a representation 2.1 in $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. That is why we define the class $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ straight away as a class of functions on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. Keeping in mind the possibility and uniqueness of such extension, we will write sometimes $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ for functions defined originally on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be an $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if there exist holomorphic functions $\varphi_k(Z)$ on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ with values in $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, N$, such that*

$$f(Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi_k(\Lambda)^*(Z_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z), \quad Z, \Lambda \in \Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N} \tag{2.3}$$

holds. In this case the functions $\varphi_k(Z)$ *can be uniquely extended to the holomorphic functions on* $\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$ *(we use the same notation for the extended functions) which are homogeneous of degree zero, i.e., for every* $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$\varphi_k(\lambda Z)=\varphi_k(Z),\quad Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}, \tag{2.4}$$

and identity (2.3) *is extended to all of* $Z,\Lambda\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$.

Proof. **Necessity.** Let $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Then (2.1) holds for $Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$, some Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H},\mathcal{M}_1,\dots,\mathcal{M}_N$ and a linear pencil of operators (2.2). Define

$$\psi(Z):=\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{U}} \\ -d(Z)^{-1}c(Z)\end{bmatrix}\in L(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}),\quad Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}.$$

Then for all $Z,\Lambda\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z) &= a(Z)-b(Z)d(Z)^{-1}c(Z)\\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{U}} & -c(\Lambda)^*d(\Lambda)^{-*}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a(Z)-b(Z)d(Z)^{-1}c(Z)\\ 0\end{bmatrix}\\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{U}} & -c(\Lambda)^*d(\Lambda)^{-*}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a(Z) & b(Z)\\ c(Z) & d(Z)\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ -d(Z)^{-1}c(Z)\end{bmatrix}\\ &= \psi(\Lambda)^*A(Z)\psi(Z).\end{aligned}$$

Set $\varphi_k(Z):=G_k\psi(Z),\ k=1,\dots,N$. Clearly, the functions $\varphi_k(Z),\ k=1,\dots,N$, are holomorphic on $\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$ and satisfy (2.4). Rewriting the equality

$$f(Z)=\psi(\Lambda)^*A(Z)\psi(Z),\quad Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}, \tag{2.5}$$

in the form

$$f(Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z),\quad Z,\Lambda\in\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}, \tag{2.6}$$

we obtain, in particular, (2.3).

Sufficiency. Let f be an $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and representable there in the form (2.3) with some holomorphic functions $\varphi_k(Z)$ taking values in $L(\mathcal{U},\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k),\ k=1,\dots,N$. Set

$$\mathcal{N}:=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{N}(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k),\ P_k:=P_{\mathcal{M}_k},\quad k=1,\dots,N,$$

$$\varphi(Z):=\operatorname{col}\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_1(Z) & \dots & \varphi_N(Z)\end{pmatrix}\in L(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N}),$$

$$E:=(I_{n_1},\dots,I_{n_N})\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},$$

where I_n denotes the identity $n\times n$ matrix. From (2.3) we get

$$f(E)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*\varphi_k(E),\quad \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}. \tag{2.7}$$

In particular,

$$f(E)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(E)^*\varphi_k(E). \tag{2.8}$$

By subtracting (2.8) from (2.7) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}[\varphi_k(\Lambda)-\varphi_k(E)]^*\varphi_k(E)=0,\quad \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},$$

i.e., the following orthogonality relation holds:

$$\mathcal{H}:=\operatorname{clos}\operatorname{span}_{\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}}\{[\varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E)]\mathcal{U}\}\perp\operatorname{clos}\{\varphi(E)\mathcal{U}\}=:\mathcal{X}.$$

For any $\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and $u\in\mathcal{U}$ one can represent now $\varphi(\Lambda)u$ as

$$\operatorname{col}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & \varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}u\in\mathcal{X}\oplus\mathcal{H}.$$

On the other hand, for any $u\in\mathcal{U},\ \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(E)u &\in \operatorname{clos}\operatorname{span}_{\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}}\{\varphi(\Lambda)\mathcal{U}\},\\ (\varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E))u &\in \operatorname{clos}\operatorname{span}_{\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}}\{\varphi(\Lambda)\mathcal{U}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{clos}\operatorname{span}_{\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}}\{\varphi(\Lambda)\mathcal{U}\}=\mathcal{X}\oplus\mathcal{H}$. Let $\kappa:\mathcal{X}\oplus\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}$ be the natural embedding defined by

$$\kappa:\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E)u\\ (\varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E))u\end{bmatrix}\longmapsto\varphi(\Lambda)u=\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1(\Lambda)u\\ \vdots\\ \varphi_N(\Lambda)u\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.9}$$

and extended to the whole $\mathcal{X}\oplus\mathcal{H}$ by linearity and continuity. Set

$$G_k:=(I_{n_k}\otimes P_k)\kappa\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}\in L(\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H},\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k),\quad k=1,\dots,N,$$

$$\psi(\Lambda):=\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}\in L(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}),\quad \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

Then

$$f(Z)=\psi(\Lambda)^*A(Z)\psi(Z),\quad Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},$$

where $A(Z)$ is defined by (2.2). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\Lambda)^*A(Z)\psi(Z)&=\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}^*\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}^*\kappa^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}Z_k\otimes P_k\right)\kappa\\ &\times\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)=f(Z).\end{aligned}$$

Now, with respect to the block partitioning of $A(Z)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}A(Z)\psi(Z)&=\begin{bmatrix}a(Z) & b(Z)\\ c(Z) & d(Z)\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}\\ &=\begin{bmatrix}a(Z)+b(Z)(\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E))\\ c(Z)+d(Z)(\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E))\end{bmatrix}=:\begin{bmatrix}f_1(Z)\\ f_2(Z)\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Since for $Z,\Lambda \in \Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ one has

$$\psi(\Lambda)^*A(Z)\psi(Z)=\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal U} & \varphi(\Lambda)^*-\varphi(E)^* \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} f_1(Z)\\ f_2(Z)\end{bmatrix}=f(Z),$$

by setting $\Lambda := E$ in this equality we get

$$f_1(Z)=f(Z),\quad Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

Therefore, for every $Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ we get $[\varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(E)]^*f_2(Z)=0$. This implies that for every $Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and $u\in\mathcal U$ one has $f_2(Z)u\perp\mathcal H$. But $f_2(Z)u\in\mathcal H$. Therefore, $f_2(Z)u=0$, and $f_2(Z)\equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$c(Z)+d(Z)[\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)]\equiv 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since for every $Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ the operator $P(Z):=\sum_{k=1}^N Z_k\otimes P_k$ has positive definite real part, i.e., $P(Z)+P(Z)^*\geq\alpha_Z I_{\mathcal N}>0$ for some scalar $\alpha_Z>0$, the operator $d(Z)=P_{\mathcal H}\kappa^*P(Z)\kappa|\mathcal H$ has positive definite real part, too. Therefore, $d(Z)$ is boundedly invertible for all $Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. From (2.10) we get $\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)=-d(Z)^{-1}c(Z),\ Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$, and

$$f(Z)=f_1(Z)=a(Z)-b(Z)d(Z)^{-1}c(Z),\quad Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

Taking into account Remark 2.1, we get $f\in\mathcal B_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal U)$.

Functions $\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)=-d(Z)^{-1}c(Z)$ and, hence, $\psi(Z)$ are well-defined, holomorphic and homogeneous of degree zero on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$, thus (2.6) holds.

The proof is complete. □

3. The class $\mathcal B_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal U)$ and functional calculus

Let us observe now that (2.3) is equivalent to the couple of identities

$$f(Z)+f(\Lambda)^* = \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(\Lambda)^*((Z_k+\Lambda_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal M_k})\varphi_k(Z), \tag{3.1}$$

$$f(Z)-f(\Lambda)^* = \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(\Lambda)^*((Z_k-\Lambda_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal M_k})\varphi_k(Z) \tag{3.2}$$

valid for all $Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. We will show that the double Cayley transform $\mathcal F=\mathcal C(f)$ applied to a function f from the Bessmertnyĭ class $\mathcal B_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal U)$ and defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal F(W)=&\left[f((I_{n_1}+W_1)(I_{n_1}-W_1)^{-1},\ldots,(I_{n_N}+W_N)(I_{n_N}-W_N)^{-1})-I_{\mathcal U}\right]\\ &\times\left[f((I_{n_1}+W_1)(I_{n_1}-W_1)^{-1},\ldots,(I_{n_N}+W_N)(I_{n_N}-W_N)^{-1})+I_{\mathcal U}\right]^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

(compare with (1.6)) turns the first of these identities into an Agler-type identity which characterizes the Agler–Schur class of holomorphic $L(\mathcal U)$-valued functions on the *product of open matrix unit disks*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N} \quad := \quad & \{W=(W_1,\dots,W_N)\in\mathbb{C}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}^{n_N\times n_N}:\\ & W_kW_k^*<I_{n_k},\quad k=1,\dots,N\}.\end{aligned}$$

The latter is a special case of the Agler–Schur class of holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued functions on a domain with matrix polynomial defining function, which was studied in [2] and [3]. This allows us to obtain one more characterization of $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Let $P(w),\ w\in\mathbb{C}^n$, be a polynomial $p\times q$ matrix valued function, and

$$\mathcal{D}_P:=\{w\in\mathbb{C}^n:\ \|P(w)\|<1\}$$

(here and in the sequel the norm of a $p\times q$ matrix means its operator norm with respect to the standard Euclidean metrics in $\mathbb{C}^p$ and $\mathbb{C}^q$). Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_P}$ denote the set of commutative n-tuples $\mathbf{T}=(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ of bounded linear operators on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}$ subject to the condition $\|P(\mathbf{T})\|<1$. It was shown in [2] that the *Taylor joint spectrum* $\sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ (see [15, 16] and also [8]) of any $\mathbf{T}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_P}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_P$. Thus, for any function S holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_P$ and any $\mathbf{T}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_P}$ the operator $S(\mathbf{T})$ is well defined by the *Taylor functional calculus* (see [14, 17] and also [8]). For the domain $\mathcal{D}_P$, the *Agler–Schur class* $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{D}_P}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}_*)$ consists of all holomorphic $L(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}_*)$-valued functions $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{D}_P$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T})\|\le 1,\quad \mathbf{T}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_P}. \tag{3.4}$$

Recall the following theorem from [3] (the case when $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_*=\mathbb{C}$ can be found in [2]), however in a slightly simplified form which will be sufficient for our purpose.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $L(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}_*)$-valued function holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_P$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i): *$\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{D}_P}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}_*)$;*

(ii): *there exist an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and an $L(\mathbb{C}^p\otimes\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E}_*)$-valued function H^L holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_P$ such that*

$$I_{\mathcal{E}_*}-\mathcal{F}(w)\mathcal{F}(\omega)^*=H^L(w)\left((I_p-P(w)P(\omega)^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)H^L(\omega)^* \tag{3.5}$$

holds for all $w,\omega\in\mathcal{D}_P$;

(iii): *there exist an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and an $L(\mathcal{E},\mathbb{C}^q\otimes\mathcal{M})$-valued function H^R holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_P$ such that*

$$I_{\mathcal{E}}-\mathcal{F}(\omega)^*\mathcal{F}(w)=H^R(\omega)^*\left((I_q-P(\omega)^*P(w))\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)H^R(w) \tag{3.6}$$

holds for all $w,\omega\in\mathcal{D}_P$;

(iv): *there exist an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$, an $L(\mathbb{C}^p\otimes\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E}_*)$-valued function H^L and an $L(\mathcal{E},\mathbb{C}^q\otimes\mathcal{M})$-valued function H^R, which are holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_P$, such that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{E}}-\mathcal{F}(\omega')^*\mathcal{F}(w) & \mathcal{F}(\omega')^*-\mathcal{F}(\omega)^*\\ \mathcal{F}(w')-\mathcal{F}(w) & I_{\mathcal{E}_*}-\mathcal{F}(w')\mathcal{F}(\omega)^*\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} H^R(\omega')^* & 0\\ 0 & H^L(w')\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\times\left(\begin{bmatrix} I_q-P(\omega')^*P(w) & P(\omega')^*-P(\omega)^*\\ P(w')-P(w) & I_p-P(w')P(\omega)^*\end{bmatrix}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\begin{bmatrix} H^R(w) & 0\\ 0 & H^L(\omega)^*\end{bmatrix}$$

holds for all $w, w', \omega, \omega' \in \mathcal{D}_P$*;*

(v): *there exists a Hilbert space* $\mathcal{X}$ *and a unitary operator*

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in L((\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{X}) \oplus \mathcal{E}, (\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{X}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*) \tag{3.8}$$

such that

$$F(w) = D + C(P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}) \left(I_{\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{X}} - A(P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\right)^{-1} B \tag{3.9}$$

holds for all $w \in \mathcal{D}_P$*.*

In [3] it was shown how to obtain from (3.5) a unitary operator (3.8) which gives the representation (3.9) for an arbitrary $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{D}_P}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$. We will show now how to get from (3.7) a special unitary operator (3.8) and representation (3.9) for an arbitrary $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{D}_P}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$. Let (3.7) hold for such $\mathcal{F}$, where a Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and functions H^L, H^R are such as in statement (iv) of Theorem 3.1. Define the lineals

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_0 \quad &:= \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} (P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^R(w) \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} e, \begin{bmatrix} H^L(\omega)^* \\ \mathcal{F}(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} e_* : \right. \\
&\qquad \left. w, \omega \in \mathcal{D}_P,\ e \in \mathcal{E},\ e_* \in \mathcal{E}_* \right\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}, \\
\mathcal{R}_0 \quad &:= \quad \operatorname{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} H^R(w) \\ \mathcal{F}(w) \end{bmatrix} e, \begin{bmatrix} (P(\omega)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^L(\omega)^* \\ I_{\mathcal{E}_*} \end{bmatrix} e_* : \right. \\
&\qquad \left. w, \omega \in \mathcal{D}_P,\ e \in \mathcal{E},\ e_* \in \mathcal{E}_* \right\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*,
\end{aligned}$$

and the operator $U_0 : \mathcal{D}_0 \to \mathcal{R}_0$ which acts on the generating vectors of $\mathcal{D}_0$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} (P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^R(w) \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} e \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} H^R(w) \\ \mathcal{F}(w) \end{bmatrix} e, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}_P,\ e \in \mathcal{E},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H^L(\omega)^* \\ \mathcal{F}(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} e_* \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} (P(\omega)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^L(\omega)^* \\ I_{\mathcal{E}_*} \end{bmatrix} e_*, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{D}_P,\ e_* \in \mathcal{E}_*.$$

This operator is correctly defined. Moreover, U_0 maps $\mathcal{D}_0$ isometrically onto $\mathcal{R}_0$. Indeed, (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} H^R(\omega')^* & \mathcal{F}(\omega')^* \\ H^L(w')(P(w') \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) & I_{\mathcal{E}_*} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H^R(w) & (P(\omega)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^L(\omega)^* \\ \mathcal{F}(w) & I_{\mathcal{E}_*} \end{bmatrix} \\
= &\begin{bmatrix} H^R(\omega')^*(P(\omega')^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) & I_{\mathcal{E}} \\ H^L(w') & F(w') \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^R(w) & H^L(\omega)^* \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} & \mathcal{F}(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

which means that for

$$\begin{aligned}
x \quad &= \quad \begin{bmatrix} (P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^R(w) \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} e + \begin{bmatrix} H^L(\omega)^* \\ \mathcal{F}(\omega)^* \end{bmatrix} e_*, \\
x' \quad &= \quad \begin{bmatrix} (P(w') \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) H^R(w') \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} e' + \begin{bmatrix} H^L(\omega')^* \\ \mathcal{F}(\omega')^* \end{bmatrix} e'_*,
\end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\langle U_0 x, U_0 x' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle .$$

Clearly, U_0 can be uniquely extended to the unitary operator $\widetilde{U_0}: \ \mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0) \to \mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{R}_0)$. In the case when

$$\dim\{((\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}) \ominus \mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0)\} = \dim\{((\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*) \ominus \mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{R}_0)\} \qquad (3.10)$$

there exists a (non-unique!) unitary operator $U: \ (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E} \to (\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*$ such that $U|\mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \widetilde{U_0}$. In the case when (3.10) doesn't hold one can set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then (3.10) holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ in the place of $\mathcal{M}$, and there exists a unitary operator $U: \ (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \oplus \mathcal{E} \to (\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*$ such that $U|\mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \widetilde{U_0}$. Thus, without loss of generality we may consider that (3.10) holds.

Let U have a block partitioning

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}: \ (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E} \to (\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{E}_*.$$

Then, in particular,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})H^R(w) \\ I_{\mathcal{E}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H^R(w) \\ \mathcal{F}(w) \end{bmatrix}, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}_P. \qquad (3.11)$$

Since for $w \in \mathcal{D}_P$ one has $\|P(w)\| < 1$, and since $\|A\| \leq 1$, we can solve the first block row equation of (3.11) for $H^R(w)$:

$$H^R(w) = (I_{\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{H}} - A(P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}))^{-1}B, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}_P.$$

Then from the second block row of (3.11) we get

$$\mathcal{F}(w) = D + C(P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathcal{M}} - A(P(w) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}))^{-1}B, \quad w \in \mathcal{D}_P,$$

i.e., (3.9) with $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}$.

We are interested here in the case of the Agler–Schur class for the domain $\mathcal{D}_P$ where the domain $\mathcal{D}_P$ is $\mathbb{D}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N \times n_N}$, and the polynomial which defines this domain is

$$P(W) = \mathrm{diag}(W_1, \ldots, W_N), \quad W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N \times n_N}.$$

Here W may be viewed as an $(n_1^2 \cdots n_N^2)$-tuple of scalar variables $(W_k)_{ij}, \ k = 1, \ldots, N, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, n_k$. We will write in this case $\mathcal{AS}_{n_1, \ldots, n_N}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$ instead of $\mathcal{AS}_{\mathcal{D}_P}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$, and if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_*$ we will write $\mathcal{AS}_{n_1, \ldots, n_N}(\mathcal{E})$. The class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_P}$ is identified for $\mathcal{D}_P = \mathbb{D}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N \times n_N}$ with the class $\mathcal{C}^{(n_1, \ldots, n_N)}$ of N-tuples of matrices $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_N) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{T}}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{T}}^{n_N \times n_N}$ over a common commutative operator algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{T}} \subset L(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}})$, with a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}$, such that $\|T_k\| < 1, \ k = 1, \ldots, N$.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(n_1, \ldots, n_N)}$ the class of N-tuples of matrices $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \ldots, R_N) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n_N \times n_N}$ over a common commutative operator algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}} \subset L(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}})$, with a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$, for which there exists a real constant $s_{\mathbf{R}} > 0$ such that

$$R_k + R_k^* \geq s_{\mathbf{R}} I_{\mathbb{C}_{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N.$$

Theorem 3.2. *For any* $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$,

$$\sigma_T(\mathbf{R}) \subset \Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N},$$

where $\sigma_T(\mathbf{R})$ *denotes the Taylor joint spectrum of the collection of operators* $(R_k)_{ij},\ k = 1,\dots,N,\ i,j = 1,\dots,n_k$.

Proof. It is shown in [13] that the Taylor joint spectrum $\sigma_T(\mathbf{X})$ of an n-tuple of commuting bounded operators $\mathbf{X} = (X_1,\dots,X_n)$ on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is contained in the polynomially convex closure of $\sigma_\pi(\mathbf{X})$, the *approximate point spectrum of* $\mathbf{X}$. The latter is defined as the set of points $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which there exists a sequence of vectors $h_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{X}}$ such that $\|h_\nu\| = 1,\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(X_j - \lambda_j I_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{X}}})h_\nu \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$ for all $j = 1,\dots,n$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\sigma_\pi(\mathbf{R}) := \sigma_\pi\left(\{(\mathbf{R}_k)_{ij} :\ k = 1,\dots,N,\ i,j = 1,\dots,n_k\}\right) \subset \Pi_s^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi_s^{n_N\times n_N}$$

whenever $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$ and $R_k + R_k^* \geq sI_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}} > 0,\ k = 1,\dots,N$, where

$$\Pi_s^{n\times n} := \{M \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n} : M + M^* \geq sI_n\},$$

since $\Pi_s^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi_s^{n_N\times n_N}$ is convex, and hence polynomially convex, and since $\Pi_s^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi_s^{n_N\times n_N} \subset \Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ for $s > 0$. Suppose that $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_N) \in \sigma_\pi(\mathbf{R})$. Then there exists a sequence of vectors $h_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $\|h_\nu\| = 1,\ \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, and for $k = 1,\dots,N,\ i,j = 1,\dots,n_k$ one has

$$((R_k)_{ij} - (\Lambda_k)_{ij} I_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}})h_\nu \to 0 \quad \text{as } \nu \to \infty.$$

Therefore, for every $k \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ and $u_k = \operatorname{col}(u_{k1},\dots,u_{kn_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \Big(\left\langle((R_k)_{ij} + (R_k)^*_{ji})h_\nu, h_\nu\right\rangle - ((\Lambda_k)_{ij} + \overline{(\Lambda_k)_{ji}})\left\langle h_\nu, h_\nu\right\rangle\Big) u_{ki}\overline{u_{kj}} \to 0$$

as $\nu \to \infty$. Since $\langle h_\nu, h_\nu\rangle = 1$, the subtrahend does not depend on ν. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} s\left\langle u_k, u_k\right\rangle &= s\lim_{\nu\to\infty} \left\langle u_k \otimes h_\nu, u_k \otimes h_\nu\right\rangle \\ &\leq \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \left\langle (R_k + R_k^*)\, u_k \otimes h_\nu, u_k \otimes h_\nu\right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \left\langle((R_k)_{ij} + (R_k)^*_{ji})h_\nu, h_\nu\right\rangle u_{ki}\overline{u_{kj}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k}((\Lambda_k)_{ij} + \overline{(\Lambda_k)_{ji}})u_{ki}\overline{u_{kj}} = \left\langle(\Lambda_k + \Lambda_k^*)u_k, u_k\right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Lambda_k + \Lambda_k^* \geq sI_{n_k},\ k = 1,\dots,N$, i.e., $\Lambda \in \Pi_s^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi_s^{n_N\times n_N}$, as desired. □

Theorem 3.2 implies that for every holomorphic function f on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and every $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$ the operator $f(\mathbf{R})$ is well defined by the Taylor functional calculus.

The *Cayley transform* defined by

$$R_k = (I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}} + T_k)(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}} - T_k)^{-1}, \quad k = 1,\dots,N, \tag{3.12}$$

maps the class $\mathcal{C}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$ onto the class $\mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$, and its inverse is given by

$$T_k = (R_k - I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}})(R_k + I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}})^{-1}, \quad k = 1,\dots,N, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{T}}$. Let f be an $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. Then its double Cayley transform $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ defined by (3.3) is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$, and by the spectral mapping theorem and uniqueness of Taylor's functional calculus (see [11]) one has

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{T}) = f(\mathbf{R}),$$

where $\mathbf{T}\in\mathcal{C}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$ and $\mathbf{R}\in\mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$ are related by (3.12) and (3.13).

Theorem 3.3. *Let f be an $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. Then $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- **(i):** $f(tZ) = tf(Z), \quad t>0,\ Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$;
- **(ii):** $f(\mathbf{R}) + f(\mathbf{R})^* \ge 0, \quad \mathbf{R}\in\mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\dots,n_N)}$;
- **(iii):** $f(Z^*) := f(Z_1^*,\dots,Z_N^*) = f(Z)^*, \quad Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$.

Proof. **Necessity.** Let $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Then (i) and (iii) easily follow from the representation (2.1) of f. Condition (ii) on f is equivalent to condition (3.4) on $\mathcal{F}$ which is defined by (3.3), i.e., to $\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{AS}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Let us show the latter. Since by Theorem 2.2 f satisfies (2.3), and hence (3.1), one can set

$$Z_k = (I_{n_k}+W_k)(I_{n_k}-W_k)^{-1},\ \Lambda_k = (I_{n_k}+\Xi_k)(I_{n_k}-\Xi_k)^{-1},\ k=1,\dots,N,$$

in (3.1) and get

$$\begin{aligned}&(I_{\mathcal{U}}+\mathcal{F}(W))(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(W))^{-1} + (I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*)^{-1}(I_{\mathcal{U}}+\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*)\\ &\quad= \sum_{k=1}^N \theta_k^\circ(\Xi)^*\left\{\left((I_{n_k}+W_k)(I_{n_k}-W_k)^{-1} + (I_{n_k}-\Xi_k^*)^{-1}(I_{n_k}+\Xi_k^*)\right)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right\}\\ &\quad\times\ \theta_k^\circ(W), \quad W,\Xi\in\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N},\end{aligned}$$

where for $k=1,\dots,N$,

$$\theta_k^\circ(W) = \varphi_k\left((I_{n_1}+W_1)(I_{n_1}-W_1)^{-1},\dots,(I_{n_N}+W_N)(I_{n_N}-W_N)^{-1}\right). \tag{3.14}$$

We can rewrite this in the form

$$I_{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*\mathcal{F}(W) = \sum_{k=1}^N \theta_k(\Xi)^*\left((I_{n_k}-\Xi_k^*W_k)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right)\theta_k(W), \tag{3.15}$$

where for $k=1,\dots,N$,

$$\theta_k(W) = \left((I_{n_k}-W_k)^{-1}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right)\theta_k^\circ(W)(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(W)) \in L(\mathcal{U},\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k). \tag{3.16}$$

The identity (3.15) coincides with (3.6) for our case, with

$$H^R(W) = \operatorname{col}(\theta_1(W),\dots,\theta_N(W)) \in L\left(\mathcal{U},\bigoplus_{k=1}^N(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k)\right),$$

$$P(W) = \operatorname{diag}(W_1,\dots,W_N).$$

Note, that without loss of generality we may consider all of $\mathcal{M}_k$'s equal to some space $\mathcal{M}$, say, $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{M}_k$. Then $H^R(W) \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \mathcal{M})$. By Theorem 3.1, this means that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{AS}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$.

Sufficiency. Let f satisfy conditions (i)–(iii). Since (ii) is equivalent to $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{AS}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by (3.3), the identity (3.15) holds with some $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M})$-valued functions θ_k holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N$, with an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ (spaces $\mathcal{M}_k$ can be chosen equal in (3.15)). Set

$$W_k = (Z_k - I_{n_k})(Z_k + I_{n_k})^{-1},\ \Xi_k = (\Lambda_k - I_{n_k})(\Lambda_k + I_{n_k})^{-1},\ k = 1, \ldots, N,$$

in (3.15), and by virtue of (3.3) get (3.1) with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_k(Z) &= ((I_{n_k} + Z_k)^{-1}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &\times\ \theta_k\left((Z_1 - I_{n_1})(Z_1 + I_{n_1})^{-1}, \ldots, (Z_N - I_{n_N})(Z_N + I_{n_N})^{-1}\right) \\ &\times\ (I_{\mathcal{U}} + f(Z)) \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, N \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

(in fact, passing from (3.1) to (3.15) is invertible, and (3.17) is obtained from (3.14) and (3.16), and vice versa). The property (iii) implies $f(X) = f(X)^*$ for every N-tuple $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N) \in \Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ of positive definite matrices (we will denote this set by $\mathcal{P}^{(n_1,\ldots,n_N)}$), and for any such X and $t > 0$ by (3.1) one has:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) + f(tX) &= (1+t)\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(tX)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X), \\ f(tX) + f(X) &= (1+t)\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(X)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX), \\ \frac{1+t}{2}[f(X) + f(X)] &= \frac{1+t}{2}\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(X)^*(2X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X), \\ \frac{1+t}{2t}[f(tX) + f(tX)] &= \frac{1+t}{2t}\sum_{k=1}^N 2\varphi_k(tX)^*(2tX_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX). \end{aligned}$$

By (i), the left-hand sides of these equalities coincide and equal $(1+t)f(X)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(tX)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X) = \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(X)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(X)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X) = \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(tX)^*(X_k \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the latter equalities that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le \sum_{k=1}^{N}[\varphi_k(tX)-\varphi_k(X)]^*(X_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})[\varphi_k(tX)-\varphi_k(X)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(tX)^*(X_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX)-\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(tX)^*(X_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X) \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(X)^*(X_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(tX)+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(X)^*(X_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})\varphi_k(X)=0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi_k(tX)-\varphi_k(X)=0$ for every $X\in\mathcal{P}^{(n_1,\ldots,n_N)}$, $t>0$ and $k=1,\ldots,N$. For fixed $k\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$ and $t>0$ the function $h_{k,t}(Z):=\varphi_k(tZ)-\varphi_k(Z)$ is holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and takes values in $L(\mathcal{U},\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M})$. Then for any fixed $k\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$, $t>0$, $u\in\mathcal{U}$ and $m\in\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}$ the scalar function $h_{k,t,u,m}(Z):=\langle h_{k,t}(Z)u,m\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}}$ is holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and vanishes on $\mathcal{P}^{(n_1,\ldots,n_N)}$. The latter set is the uniqueness subset in $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$, thus by the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions of several variables (see, e.g., [12]), $h_{k,t,u,m}(Z)\equiv 0$, hence $h_{k,t}(Z)\equiv 0$, which means:

$$\varphi_k(tZ)=\varphi_k(Z),\quad t>0,\ Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

It follows from the latter equality that for every $Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ and $t>0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z)+tf(\Lambda)^* &= f(Z)+f(t\Lambda)^*=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(t\Lambda)^*\left((Z_k+t\Lambda_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\varphi_k(Z) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*\left((Z_k+t\Lambda_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\varphi_k(Z) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*\left(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\varphi_k(Z)+t\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*\left(\Lambda_k^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\varphi_k(Z), \end{aligned}$$

and the comparison of the coefficients of the two linear functions in t, at the beginning and at the end of this chain of equalities, gives:

$$f(Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*\left(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\varphi_k(Z),\quad Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},$$

i.e., (2.3) with $\mathcal{M}_k=\mathcal{M}$, $k=1,\ldots,N$. By Theorem 2.2, $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be an $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function holomorphic on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. Then $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i): $f(\lambda Z)=\lambda f(Z),\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\},\ Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$;

(ii): $f(\mathbf{R})+f(\mathbf{R})^*\ge 0,\quad \mathbf{R}\in\mathcal{A}^{(n_1,\ldots,n_N)}$;

(iii): $f(Z^*)=f(Z)^*,\quad Z\in\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ then (i) and (iii) follow from the representation (2.1)–(2.2) of f, and (ii) follows from Theorem 3.3.

Conversely, statements (i)–(iii) of the corrollary imply statements (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.3, which in turn imply that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. □

Remark 3.5. By Corollary 3.4, its conditions (i)–(iii) on holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued functions on $\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_N}$ give an equivalent definition of the class $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$, which seems to be more natural than the original definition given above in "existence" terms.

4. The image of the class $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ under the double Cayley transform

It was shown in the proof of Theorem 3.3 that if $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ then the double Cayley transform of f, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$, defined by (3.3), belongs to the Agler–Schur class $\mathcal{AS}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. In fact, we are able to proof a stronger statement.

Theorem 4.1. *A holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$ can be represented as $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:*

(i): *There exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$ and an operator*

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in L((\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \oplus \mathcal{U}) \tag{4.1}$$

such that for $W = (W_1,\dots,W_N) \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$ one has

$$\mathcal{F}(W) = D + C(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B, \tag{4.2}$$

where $P(W) = \mathrm{diag(W_1,\dots,W_N)}$ and $U = U^* = U^{-1}$.

(ii): $1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{F}(0))$.

Proof. **Necessity.** Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Then (3.1) and (3.2) hold. As we have shown in Theorem 3.3, the identity (3.1) implies the identity (3.15) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$, with holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k)$-valued functions θ_k, $k = 1,\dots,N$, on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$ defined by (3.14) and (3.16). Analogously, the identity (3.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(W) - \mathcal{F}(\Xi)^* \quad &= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} \theta_k(\Xi)^* \left((W_k - \Xi_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right)\theta_k(W), \\ & \qquad W, \Xi \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Let us rewrite (3.15) and (4.3) in a somewhat different way. Since by Theorem 3.3 $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ satisfies $f(Z^*) = f(Z)^*$, $Z \in \Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$, one has also

$$\mathcal{F}(W^*) = \mathcal{F}(W)^*, \quad W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

Therefore, (3.15) and (4.3) are equivalent to the following two identities, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{F}(W)\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^* &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \widetilde{\theta_k}(W)\left((I_{n_k} - W_k\Xi_k^*) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right)\widetilde{\theta_k}(\Xi)^*, && (4.4)\\
\mathcal{F}(W) - \mathcal{F}(\Xi)^* &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \widetilde{\theta_k}(W)\left((W_k - \Xi_k^*) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\right)\widetilde{\theta_k}(\Xi)^*, && (4.5)\\
& \quad W, \Xi \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\theta_k}(W) = \theta_k(W^*)^*$ are holomorphic $L(\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{U})$-valued functions on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$. We will show that the identities (4.4) and (4.5) allow us to construct a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$ and an operator U satisfying condition (i) of this theorem. To this end, we will apply the construction from Section 3 (next to Theorem 3.1) to $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$. In this case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{U}$. Without loss of generality we may consider all of $\mathcal{M}_k$'s equal. Say, set $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{M}_k$ and regard

$$
H_k^R = \theta_k \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}), \quad H_k^L = \widetilde{\theta_k} \in L(\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{U}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, N.
$$

Then (3.15), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) imply (3.7), and

$$
H^L(W^*) = H^R(W)^*,
$$

where $H^L(W) = \mathrm{col}(\mathrm{H}_1^\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{W}), \ldots, \mathrm{H}_\mathrm{N}^\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{W})),\ \mathrm{H}^\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{col}(\mathrm{H}_1^\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{W}), \ldots, \mathrm{H}_\mathrm{N}^\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{W})),\ \mathrm{W} \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{n}_1\times \mathrm{n}_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{\mathrm{n}_\mathrm{N}\times \mathrm{n}_\mathrm{N}}$. Thus, $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{R}_0$, and the operator U_0 acts on the generating vectors of $\mathcal{D}_0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} (P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})H^R(W) \\ I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u &\longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} H^R(W) \\ \mathcal{F}(W) \end{bmatrix} u,\\
\begin{bmatrix} H^R(W) \\ \mathcal{F}(W) \end{bmatrix} u &\longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} (P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}})H^R(W) \\ I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u,\\
& \quad W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We used here the relations $\mathcal{F}(W^*) = \mathcal{F}(W)^*,\ H^L(W^*) = H^R(W)^*,\ P(W^*) = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{W}_1^*, \ldots, \mathrm{W}_\mathrm{N}^*) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})^*$. Thus $U_0 = U_0^{-1}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{U_0} = \widetilde{U_0}^{-1}$. Since $p = q = n_1 + \cdots + n_N,\ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{U}$, (3.10) holds. Then the operator

$$
U = \widetilde{U_0} \oplus I_{((\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{U}) \ominus \mathrm{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0)} \in L((\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{U})
$$

satisfies $U = U^{-1}$. Since we have also $U^* = U^{-1}$, (i) is satisfied with $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}$.

Statement (ii) follows in the same way as in [9, Theorem 4.2], with $E = (I_{n_1}, \ldots, I_{n_N})$ in the place of $e = (1, \ldots, 1)$.

Sufficiency. Let the conditions (i) and (ii) on $\mathcal{F}$ be satisfied. Then in the same way as in [9, Theorem 4.2] one can see that $1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{F}(W))$ for all $W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$. Thus, the function

$$
F(W) := (I_{\mathcal{U}} + \mathcal{F}(W))(I_{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{F}(W))^{-1}
$$

is correctly defined and holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$. It is easy to see that

$$F(W)+F(\Xi)^*=2(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*)^{-1}(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*\mathcal{F}(W))(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(W))^{-1}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$F(W)-F(\Xi)^*=2(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(W)-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*)(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(W))^{-1}. \tag{4.7}$$

As shown in [3], it follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*\mathcal{F}(W) &= B^*(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-(P(\Xi)^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})A^*)^{-1}\\ &\times\ ((I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}}-P(\Xi)^*P(W))\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\\ &\times\ (I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-A(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B.\end{aligned}$$

Since $U=U^*$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^*\mathcal{F}(W) &= \sum_{k=1}^N B^*(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-(P(\Xi)^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})A)^{-1}\\ &\times\ (P_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})((I_{n_k}-\Xi_k^*W_k)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(P_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\\ &\times\ (I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-A(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B.\end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(W)-\mathcal{F}(\Xi)^* &= \sum_{k=1}^N B^*(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-(P(\Xi)^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})A)^{-1}\\ &\times\ (P_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})((W_k-\Xi_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(P_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\\ &\times\ (I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-A(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4.6) and (4.7) we get

$$F(W)+F(\Xi)^* = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k(\Xi)^*((I_{n_k}-\Xi_k^*W_k)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\xi_k(W), \tag{4.8}$$

$$F(W)-F(\Xi)^* = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k(\Xi)^*((W_k-\Xi_k^*)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})\xi_k(W), \tag{4.9}$$

with

$$\xi_k(W)=\sqrt{2}(P_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}}-A(P(W)\otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B(I_{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{F}(W))^{-1},$$

for all $W\in\mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$ and $k=1,\dots,N$. Since for $Z_k,\Lambda_k\in\Pi^{n_k\times n_k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{n_k}-(\Lambda_k^*+I_{n_k})^{-1}(\Lambda_k^*-I_{n_k})(Z_k-I_{n_k})(Z_k+I_{n_k})^{-1}\\ &\quad=2(\Lambda_k^*+I_{n_k})^{-1}(Z_k+\Lambda_k^*)(Z_k+I_{n_k})^{-1},\\ &(Z_k-I_{n_k})(Z_k+I_{n_k})^{-1}-(\Lambda_k^*+I_{n_k})^{-1}(\Lambda_k^*-I_{n_k})\\ &\quad=2(\Lambda_k^*+I_{n_k})^{-1}(Z_k-\Lambda_k^*)(Z_k+I_{n_k})^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

by setting $W_k := (Z_k - I_{n_k})(Z_k + I_{n_k})^{-1}$ and $\Xi_k := (\Lambda_k - I_{n_k})(\Lambda_k + I_{n_k})^{-1}$ in (4.8) and (4.9) we get the identities (3.1) and (3.2) for

$$f(Z) = F((Z_1 - I_{n_1})(Z_1 + I_{n_1})^{-1}, \dots, (Z_N - I_{n_N})(Z_N - I_{n_N})^{-1}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(Z) &= \sqrt{2}((Z_k + I_{n_k})^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ &\times \ \xi_k((Z_1 - I_{n_1})(Z_1 + I_{n_1})^{-1}, \dots, (Z_N - I_{n_N})(Z_N - I_{n_N})^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2 we finally get $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ where $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n_1,\dots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. The proof is complete. □

5. The "real" case

In Section 1 we have mentioned the notions of an anti-unitary involution (AUI) $\iota = \iota_{\mathcal{U}}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$ (a counterpart of the operator ι_n of complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}^n$), a $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{\mathcal{Y}})$-real operator $A \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ (a counterpart of matrix with real entries), and a $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{\mathcal{Y}})$-real operator-valued function f (a counterpart of function which takes real scalar or matrix values at real points). Some basic properties of AUI were described in [9, Proposition 6.1]. We will need also the following property.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}$ be AUIs on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. Then the operator $\iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} = \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ which is defined on elementary tensors $u \otimes h$ as*

$$(\iota_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{H}})(u \otimes h) = \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{H}} h \tag{5.1}$$

and then extended to all of $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by linearity and continuity, is defined correctly and is an AUI on $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. First, let us observe that $\iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}}$ is correctly defined. To this end, note that for arbitrary $x' = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} u'_\alpha \otimes h'_\alpha$ and $x'' = \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} u''_\beta \otimes h''_\beta$ from $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} x', \iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} x'' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \left\langle \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u'_\alpha \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{H}} h'_\alpha, \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u''_\beta \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{H}} h''_\beta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \\ = \ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \left\langle \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u'_\alpha, \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u''_\beta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{U}} \left\langle \iota_{\mathcal{H}} h'_\alpha, \iota_{\mathcal{H}} h''_\beta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \left\langle u''_\beta, u'_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathcal{U}} \left\langle h''_\beta, h'_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ = \ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \left\langle u''_\beta \otimes h''_\beta, u'_\alpha \otimes h'_\alpha \right\rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} &= \langle x'', x' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}}$ is an *anti-isometry* on linear combinations of elementary tensors. Thus, it is uniquely extended to an operator on all of $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, and the property (1.9) of the extended operator follows by continuity. Since for arbitrary $x' = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} u'_\alpha \otimes h'_\alpha$ and $x'' = \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} u''_\beta \otimes h''_\beta$ from $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \iota^2_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} x', x'' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l}\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \langle \iota^2_{\mathcal{U}} u'_\alpha \otimes \iota^2_{\mathcal{H}} h'_\alpha, u''_\beta \otimes h''_\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l}\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \langle u'_\alpha \otimes h'_\alpha, u''_\beta \otimes h''_\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle x', x'' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}},\end{aligned}$$

by continuity the property (1.8) of $\iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}}$ follows as well. Thus, $\iota_{\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}}$ is an AUI on $\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{H}$. □

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a Hilbert space, and let $\iota = \iota_{\mathcal{U}}$ be an AUI on $\mathcal{U}$. Denote by $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ the subclass of $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ consisting of ι-real functions. The following theorem gives several equivalent characterizations of the "ι-real valued Bessmertnyĭ class" $\iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$ which specify for this case the characterizations obtained above for the "complex valued Bessmertnyĭ class" $\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let f be a holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U})$-valued function on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$, and $\iota = \iota_{\mathcal{U}}$ be an AUI on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- **(i):** *$f \in \iota\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$;*
- **(ii):** *there exist a representation (2.1) of f and AUIs $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}$ on $\mathcal{M}_k$, $k = 1,\ldots,N$, such that the operators G_k in (2.2) are $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{H}}, \iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real;*
- **(iii):** *there exist a representation (2.3) of f and AUIs $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}$ on $\mathcal{M}_k$ such that the holomorphic functions $\varphi_k(Z)$ are $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real, $k = 1,\ldots,N$;*
- **(iv):** *there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$ and an operator U as in (4.1) such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ satisfies (4.2) and $U = U^* = U^{-1}$; moreover, there exists an AUI $\iota_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that the operator U is $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real.*

Proof. (i)⇒(iii) Let (i) hold. By Theorem 2.2 there exists a representation (2.3) of f with holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$-valued functions φ_k on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N \times n_N}$. Let $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}$ be an AUI on $\mathcal{M}_k$, and let ι_{n_k} be a standard AUI on $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$, i.e., a complex conjugation. Set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k} := \mathcal{M}_k \oplus \mathcal{M}_k$ and $\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k} \\ \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $k = 1,\ldots,N$. Clearly, $\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}}$ is an AUI on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}$. Define the rearrangement isomorphisms $V_k : \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes (\mathcal{M}_k \oplus \mathcal{M}_k) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_{11} \\ m_{21} \\ \vdots \\ m_{1n_k} \\ m_{2n_k} \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} \\ \vdots \\ m_{1n_k} \\ m_{21} \\ \vdots \\ m_{2n_k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}}=V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}0 & \iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}\\ \iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k} & 0\end{bmatrix}V_k. \tag{5.2}$$

Set

$$\widetilde{\varphi_k}(Z):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(Z)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix},$$

where $\bar{Z}=(\overline{Z_1},\dots,\overline{Z_N})$, and $(\overline{Z_k})_{ij}=\overline{(Z_k)_{ij}},\ k=1,\dots,N,\ i,j=1,\dots,n_k$. By properties of AUIs, $\widetilde{\varphi_k}(Z)$ is holomorphic on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\varphi_k}$ is $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}},\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})$-real. Indeed, due to (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\varphi_k}^{\sharp}(Z) &= (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}0 & \iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}\\ \iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k} & 0\end{bmatrix}\cdot\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})^2\varphi_k(Z)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}^2\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(Z)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}\\ &= \widetilde{\varphi_k}(Z).\end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$f(Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(Z),\quad Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

To this end, let us show first that for $k=1,\dots,N$:

$$\Big((\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\Big)^*=\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}}),\quad \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}. \tag{5.3}$$

Indeed, for any $m\in\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k},\ u\in\mathcal{U},\ \Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle\Big((\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\Big)^*m,u\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{U}} &= \Big\langle m,(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}}\\ \Big\langle\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u,(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})m\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}} &= \Big\langle\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u,\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})m\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{U}}\\ &= \Big\langle\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})m,u\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{U}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $Z,\Lambda\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\widetilde{\varphi_k}(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})\widetilde{\varphi_k}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(\Lambda)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{\Lambda})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}^*\\
\times\quad & V_k(Z_k\otimes I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_k}})V_k^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(Z)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}\\
=\quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(\Lambda)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{\Lambda})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}^*\begin{bmatrix}Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k} & 0\\ 0 & Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k}\end{bmatrix}\\
\times\quad & \begin{bmatrix}\varphi_k(Z)\\ (\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\end{bmatrix}\\
=\quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)\\
+\quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\varphi_k(\bar{\Lambda})^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\\
=\quad & \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\varphi_k(\Lambda)^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\varphi_k(\bar{\Lambda})^*(\overline{Z_k}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\right)\\
=\quad & \frac{1}{2}(f(Z)+\iota_{\mathcal{U}}f(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}})=f(Z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (5.3), unitarity of V_k, and identity $\iota_{n_k}\overline{Z_k}\iota_{n_k}=Z_k$. Thus, (iii) follows from (i).

(iii)⇒(ii) Let (iii) hold. As in the sufficiency part of the proof of Theorem 2.3 we set

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{N}(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k),\qquad \varphi(Z):=\mathrm{col}(\varphi_1(Z),\ldots,\varphi_N(Z))\in L(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N}),
$$

$$
P_k:=P_{\mathcal{M}_k},\quad E=(I_{n_1},\ldots,I_{n_N})\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}&:=\text{clos span}_{\Lambda\in\Pi^{\mathrm{n_1\times n_1}}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{\mathrm{n_N\times n_N}}}\{(\varphi(\Lambda)-\varphi(\mathrm{E}))\mathcal{U}\}\subset\mathcal{N},\\
G_k&:=(I_{n_k}\otimes P_k)\kappa\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}\in L(\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H},\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa:\ \mathcal{X}\oplus\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}$ is defined by (2.9). For $\psi(Z)=\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}$ one has $\varphi(E)\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}\oplus\{0\}$, therefore the linear span of vectors of the form $\psi(Z)u,\ Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},\ u\in\mathcal{U}$, is dense in $\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}$. Set $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}:=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{N}(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$. By the assumption, we have for $k=1,\ldots,N$:

$$
(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)=\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}},\quad Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.
$$

Therefore,

$$\iota_{\mathcal{N}}(\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E))u=(\varphi(Z)-\varphi(E))\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u\in\mathcal{H},\quad u\in\mathcal{U}.$$

Thus $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}=\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^2\mathcal{H}\subset\iota_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{H}$, therefore $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}$. Set $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}:=\iota_{\mathcal{N}}|\mathcal{H}$. Clearly, $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an AUI on $\mathcal{H}$, and

$$(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})\psi(Z)=\psi(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}},\quad Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N}.$$

Let us verify that G_k is $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}},\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real, $k=1,\ldots,N$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k\psi(Z)u\\
={}&(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})(I_{n_k}\otimes P_k)\kappa\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}u\\
={}&(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})(I_{n_k}\otimes P_k)\kappa\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E)\\ \varphi(Z)-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}u=(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})\varphi_k(Z)u\\
={}&\varphi_k(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u=(I_{n_k}\otimes P_k)\kappa\begin{bmatrix}\varphi(E) & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_{\mathcal{U}}\\ \varphi(\bar{Z})-\varphi(E)\end{bmatrix}\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u\\
={}&G_k\psi(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}u=G_k(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})\psi(Z)u.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the linear span of vectors of the form $\psi(Z)u,\ Z\in\Pi^{n_1\times n_1}\times\cdots\times\Pi^{n_N\times n_N},\ u\in\mathcal{U}$, is dense in $\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}$, the operator G_k is $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}},\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real, as desired.

(ii)⇒(i) Let f satisfy (ii). Then by Theorem 2.2 $f\in\mathcal{B}_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}(\mathcal{U})$. Let us show that the operator-valued linear function $A(Z)$ from (2.2) is $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}}$-real. Since G_k is $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}},\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real, one has $G_k(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})=(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k$ and $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})G_k^*=G_k^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}),\ k=1,\ldots,N$. The latter equality follows from the fact that for every $h\in\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k,\ x\in\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})G_k^*h,x\rangle_{\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}} &= \langle(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})x,G_k^*h\rangle_{\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}}\\
=\langle G_k(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})x,h\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k} &= \langle(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_kx,h\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k}\\
=\langle(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})h,G_kx\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}\otimes\mathcal{M}_k} &= \langle G_k^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})h,x\rangle_{\mathcal{U}\oplus\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})A(\bar{Z})(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})=\sum_{k=1}^N(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})G_k^*(\overline{Z_k}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}\oplus\iota_{\mathcal{H}})\\
={}&\sum_{k=1}^N G_k^*(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})(\overline{Z_k}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})(\iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k=\sum_{k=1}^N G_k^*(\iota_{n_k}\overline{Z_k}\iota_{n_k}\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k\\
={}&\sum_{k=1}^N G_k^*(Z_k\otimes I_{\mathcal{M}_k})G_k=A(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

The latter is equivalent to the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota_{\mathcal{U}}a(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}=a(Z),\quad \iota_{\mathcal{U}}b(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}}=b(Z),\\
&\iota_{\mathcal{H}}c(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}=c(Z),\quad \iota_{\mathcal{H}}d(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}}=d(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$(\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})^{-1}\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) \cdot (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) = (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) \cdot (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})^{-1}\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) = I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

one has

$$\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})^{-1}\iota_{\mathcal{H}} = (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} = d(Z)^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f^\sharp(Z) &= \iota_{\mathcal{U}} f(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}} = \iota_{\mathcal{U}}(a(\bar{Z}) - b(\bar{Z})d(\bar{Z})^{-1}c(\bar{Z}))\iota_{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \iota_{\mathcal{U}} a(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}} - (\iota_{\mathcal{U}} b(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) \cdot (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} d(\bar{Z})^{-1}\iota_{\mathcal{H}}) \cdot (\iota_{\mathcal{H}} c(\bar{Z})\iota_{\mathcal{U}}) \\ &= a(Z) - b(Z)d(Z)^{-1}c(Z) = f(Z), \end{aligned}$$

and f is $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}$-real. Thus, (i) follows from (ii).

(iv)⇒(i) Let (iv) hold. Then the operator $U = U^* = U^{-1}$ from (4.1) is $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real, i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 \\ 0 & \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 \\ 0 & \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})A(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) = A, &\quad (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})B\iota_{\mathcal{U}} = B, \\ \iota_{\mathcal{U}} C(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) = C, &\quad \iota_{\mathcal{U}} D\iota_{\mathcal{U}} = D. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} &(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ = \;& (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})^2 - (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})A(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ \times \;& (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})(P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ = \;& I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(\overline{W}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}(\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ = (I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(\overline{W}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(we already used an analogous argument above). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\sharp(W) &= \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{W})\iota_{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \left[D + C(P(\overline{W}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(\overline{W}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B\right] \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= D + C(P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}})(I_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N}\otimes\mathcal{X}} - A(P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}))^{-1}B \\ &= \mathcal{F}(W), \quad W \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N\times n_N}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\mathcal{F}$ is $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}$-real. Applying the inverse double Cayley transform to $\mathcal{F}$, one can see that f is $\iota_{\mathcal{U}}$-real on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$, and hence on $\Omega_{n_1,\ldots,n_N}$. Thus, (i) follows from (iv).

(iii)⇒(iv) Let f satisfy (2.3) with holomorphic $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{n_k}\otimes\iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$-valued functions φ_k on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we get for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$ consecutively: identities (3.15) and (4.3) with holomorphic $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k)$-valued functions θ_k on $\Pi^{n_1\times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N\times n_N}$

given by (3.14), (3.16) which are, moreover, $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k})$-real; then identities (4.4) and (4.5) with holomorphic $L(\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{U})$-valued functions $\widetilde{\theta}_k$ on $\Pi^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi^{n_N \times n_N}$ which are, moreover, $(\iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}, \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real. Without loss of generality, we consider all of $\mathcal{M}_k$'s equal, i.e., set $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{M}_k$ and regard

$$H_k^R = \theta_k \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}), \quad H_k^L = \widetilde{\theta}_k \in L(\mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{U}), \quad \iota_{\mathcal{M}} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_k}.$$

Then H_k^R is $(\iota_{\mathcal{U}}, \iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$-real, and H_k^L is $(\iota_{n_k} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real, $k = 1, \ldots, N$.

Set $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{M}$. Let us observe that the subspace $\mathcal{D}_0$ (and hence, $\operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0)$) is $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-invariant. Indeed, for

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} (P(W) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}) H^R(W) \\ I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} H^R(W') \\ \mathcal{F}(W') \end{bmatrix} u' \in \mathcal{D}_0,$$

with some $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ and $W, W' \in \mathbb{D}^{n_1 \times n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}^{n_N \times n_N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}}) x \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} P(W) \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) H^R(W) \\ \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} (\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) H^R(W') \\ \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}(W') \end{bmatrix} u' \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (P(\overline{W}) \iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) H^R(W) \\ \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} H^R(\overline{W'}) \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \mathcal{F}(\overline{W}') \iota_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} u' \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (P(\overline{W}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{X}}) H^R(\overline{W}) \\ I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix} \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u + \begin{bmatrix} H^R(\overline{W'}) \\ \mathcal{F}(\overline{W}') \end{bmatrix} \iota_{\mathcal{U}} u \in \mathcal{D}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the subspace

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{\perp} := ((\mathbb{C}^{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \mathcal{X}) \oplus \mathcal{U}) \ominus \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{D}_0)$$

is also $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-invariant. Indeed, for any $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0,\ h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_0^{\perp}$:

$$\langle ((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}}) h_2, h_1 \rangle = \langle ((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}}) h_1, h_2 \rangle = 0,$$

thus $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_0^{\perp}$ implies $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}}) h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_0^{\perp}$.

Now, it is easy to check that U_0 and therefore $\widetilde{U_0}$ are $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real. Since $U = \widetilde{U_0} \oplus I_{\mathcal{D}_0^{\perp}}$, and $\mathcal{D}_0^{\perp}$ is $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-invariant, the operator $U = U^* = U^{-1}$ is $((\iota_{n_1+\cdots+n_N} \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{X}}) \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{U}})$-real, as required.

The proof is complete. □

References

[1] J. Agler. *On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisc.* In: Topics in operator theory: Ernst D. Hellinger memorial volume, volume **48** of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 47–66. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.

[2] C.-G. Ambrozie and D. Timotin. *A von Neumann type inequality for certain domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131**(3) (2003), 859–869 (electronic).

[3] J. A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov. *Realization and interpolation for Schur-Agler-class functions on domains with matrix polynomial defining function in* $\mathbb{C}^n$. J. Functional Analysis, to appear.

[4] M. F. Bessmertnyĭ. *Functions of several complex variables in the theory of finite linear strustures.* PhD thesis, Kharkov University, Kharkov, 1982. (Russian).

[5] M. F. Bessmertnyĭ. *On realizations of rational matrix functions of several complex variables.* In: Interpolation theory, systems theory and related topics (Tel Aviv/Rehovot, 1999), volume **134** of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 157–185. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002. Translated from the Russian by D. Alpay and V. Katsnelson.

[6] M. F. Bessmertnyĭ. *On realizations of rational matrix functions of several complex variables. II.* In: Reproducing kernel spaces and applications, volume **143** of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 135–146. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003. Translated from the Russian by V. Katsnelson.

[7] M. F. Bessmertnyĭ. *On realizations of rational matrix functions of several complex variables. III.* In: Current trends in operator theory and its applications (Blacksburg VA, 2002), volume **149** of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004. Translated from the Russian by D. S. Kalyuzhnyĭ-Verbovetzkiĭ.

[8] R. E. Curto. *Applications of several complex variables to multiparameter spectral theory.* In: Surveys of some recent results in operator theory, Vol. II, volume **192** of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pages 25–90. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1988.

[9] D. S. Kalyuzhnyĭ-Verbovetzkiĭ. *On the Bessmertnyĭ class of homogeneous positive holomorphic functions of several variables.* In: Current trends in operator theory and its applications (Blacksburg VA, 2002), volume **149** of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[10] G. Kron. *Tensor analysis of networks.* Wiley, New York, 1939.

[11] M. Putinar. *Uniqueness of Taylor's functional calculus.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **89**(4) (1983), 647–650.

[12] B. V. Shabat. *Introduction to complex analysis. Part II*, volume **110** of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. Functions of several variables, Translated from the third (1985) Russian edition by J. S. Joel.

[13] Z. Słodkowski and W. Żelazko. *On joint spectra of commuting families of operators.* Studia Math., **50** (1974), 127–148.

[14] J. L. Taylor. *The analytic-functional calculus for several commuting operators.* Acta Math., **125** (1970), 1–38.

[15] J. L. Taylor. *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators.* J. Functional Analysis, **6** (1970), 172–191.

[16] F.-H. Vasilescu. *A characterization of the joint spectrum in Hilbert spaces.* Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **22**(7) (1977), 1003–1009.

[17] F.-H. Vasilescu. *A Martinelli type formula for the analytic functional calculus.* Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **23**(10) (1978), 1587–1605.

Dmitry S. Kalyuzhnyĭ-Verbovetzkiĭ
Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
P.O. Box 653
Beer-Sheva 84105
Israel
e-mail: `dmitryk@math.bgu.ac.il`